

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/931,615	09/16/97	KA WATA	041464-5018

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

12

**DATE MAILED:****INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GEORGE BARDMEIER (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) HUYEN LE (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 9/7/99Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 4Identification of prior art discussed: SARITI (U.S. patent 3,079,472), Takahishi and Nakamura.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant will file the amendment and the arguments for responding the office action mailed on 06/16/99

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.